UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII	
)
UNITED STATE OF AMERICA,) Case No. 17-CR-00104JMS
Plaintiff,) February 15, 2018) 10:58 a.m.
vs.)
LEIHINAHINA SULLIVAN,)
Defendant.) U.S. District Court) 300 Ala Moana Boulevard) Honolulu, HI 96850
TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER BEFORE THE HONORABLE KEVIN S.C. CHANG UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE APPEARANCES:	
For Plaintiff:	Rebecca Ann Perlmutter, Esq. U.S. Attorney's Office 300 Ala Moana Boulevard, #6100 Honolulu, HI 96850
For Defendant:	William A. Harrison, Esq. Harrison & Matsuoka 1001 Bishop Street, Suite 1180 Honolulu, HI 96813
Transcription Service:	Jessica B. Cahill, CER/CET-708 Maukele Transcribers, LLC 467 Maukele Place Wailuku, Maui, HI 96793

Telephone: (808)244-0776

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

```
1
    FEBRUARY 15, 2018
                                                      10:58 A.M.
 2
              THE CLERK: All rise. Please be seated. Court is now
    in session. Criminal 17-104JMS, United States of America v.
 3
    Defendant (01) Leihinahina Sullivan. This case has been called
 4
 5
    for a motion for protective order. Counsel, please make your
    appearances for the record.
 6
 7
              MS. PERLMUTTER: Good morning, Your Honor. Rebecca
    Perlmutter for the United States and sitting at counsel table
 8
 9
    with me is Special Agent Mark MacPherson from the IRS.
10
              THE COURT: Good morning.
11
              MR. HARRISON: And good morning, Your Honor. May the
12
    record reflect the presence of Bill Harrison on behalf of Ms.
13
    Sullivan. Your Honor, we would ask that her presence be waived
14
    for this hearing.
15
              THE COURT: Yes. I understand that Judge Kobayashi
    still has not issued her decision.
16
17
              MR. HARRISON: She has, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: She has issued her decision?
18
19
              MR. HARRISON: Yes.
20
              MS. PERLMUTTER: We just got it. Actually, it was
21
    issued late yesterday under seal.
22
              THE COURT: Oh, okay. I have not seen it.
23
              MS. PERLMUTTER: No, and we weren't able to get into it
24
    for some reason either, but we got it this morning. I haven't
25
    even -- I skimmed it. I haven't read it fully. There is a
```

```
1
    violation of conditions, but no detention. So we're going to
    have to reset for a hearing about modified conditions, in a
 2
 3
    nutshell.
 4
              MR. HARRISON: That's correct, Your Honor. That's
 5
    basically what the Judge did in this case. And so, we were
    looking at the 21st, possibly. If possible in the afternoon of
 6
 7
    the 21st. I've got hearings in the morning.
              THE CLERK: Yeah, I'm checking.
 8
 9
              MR. HARRISON: Okay.
10
              THE COURT: Ms. Perlmutter, does that -- the 21st, does
11
    that date work for you?
12
              MS. PERLMUTTER: Yes, Your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: All right. So we'll continue this hearing
14
    until February 2 -- at what time, Mr. Harrison? In the
15
    afternoon?
              MR. HARRISON: 1:30, 2:00. Whenever the Court's
16
17
    available in the afternoon. I just -- the morning is really
18
    full.
              THE CLERK: 1:30 is -- we're open.
19
20
              MR. HARRISON: Okay.
21
              THE COURT: All right. We'll set it for 1:30 on the
22
    21st. That will give me the chance to, at least, review Judge
23
    Kobayashi's order. Okay. Thank you very much. Go ahead.
24
              MS. PERLMUTTER: Oh, so, I guess we're here today, Your
25
    Honor, for the protective order. The continuation of the
```

2

10

11

12

17

18

20

21

22

24

25

```
1
    protective order. And I want to start out the tone by saying --
    and I hope this is -- I feel pretty optimistic. I think the
    parties have made progress, and I think there's a remaining issue
 3
 4
    to sort out, but I want to start that way.
 5
              First is we've discussed -- hopefully, we'll be able to
    resolve the protective order in total here today, but that we
 6
 7
    want to get discovery to the Defendant. We actually have
    prepared -- and Defendant -- Defense counsel has agreed that we
 8
 9
    can enter a provisional order subject to resolution of what type
    of information can go to the Defendant's hands, copies and so
    forth, so that he can get the discovery to start reviewing it, so
    that at the resolution of this hearing, hopefully we'll be able
13
    to either enter a full order or some sort of provisional order,
14
    so that we can get the discovery in Defendant's hands.
15
              THE COURT: Well, with all due deference to both
16
    counsel, what I would prefer is to see the provisional order
    before we have the arguments. I would like to see, in fact, what
    it is that you guys are actually able to agree on as opposed to
19
    leaving it open until the end of the hearing for me to decide
    what it is. Because, frankly, if you've already got some
    agreement on some issues, I would like to know what that is, so
    it will further narrow the scope of whatever I have to make the
23
    ruling on.
```

Because if today I issue a ruling, I may actually contradict or interfere with whatever agreement you may already

```
1
    have.
 2
              MS. PERLMUTTER: I can outline that, certainly, for
 3
    you, Your Honor.
              If you take a look at the proposed order that the
 4
 5
    Government originally submitted --
              THE COURT: Yes.
 6
 7
              MS. PERLMUTTER: -- the only -- I think the only
    remaining -- and I would like Mr. Harrison to let me know if I'm
 8
 9
    misstating our position -- the only remaining issue is who is
10
    responsible for redactions.
11
              The proposed order that the Government put before the
12
    Court is that the Defense is responsible for redactions and
13
    should do so subject to compliance with those terms. And that's
14
    still an issue, is how to redact and who should redact, but the
15
    provisional nature on what the parties have agreed on is that
16
    subject to the terms of the proposed protective order that the
17
    Government has submitted, that there's no third-party disclosure,
    that the Defense team, including Defense counsel, or anyone
18
19
    within that team, can review unredacted discovery containing PII,
20
    because not only is it relevant to the defense, but it's
21
    voluminous in nature, and it involves -- it wouldn't be efficient
22
    to redact at the outset, so they can receive that.
23
              And that the Defendant can review that unredacted
24
    discovery in the presence of Defense counsel, but cannot retain
25
    copies of unredacted discovery and cannot transcribe PII
```

```
1
    information. And that's where the parties agree on the
    parameters of our agreement.
 2
              It's just -- and in an effort -- we talked about this
 3
 4
    last time. In an effort to come to some sort of reasonable
 5
    balanced point, you know, the Government has taken into account
    Defendant's arguments and Defense counsel's concerns that he
 6
 7
    wants the Defendant to have actual copies of the discovery,
    although that's a convenience point, but I understand that. It's
 8
 9
    just that those copies of PII information, if she wants to
10
    actually retain herself a copy of certain discovery materials,
11
    should be redacted.
12
              So that's the remaining issue, how to redact, and who
13
    should redact, and under what circumstances.
14
              THE COURT: Well, Ms. Perlmutter, I do appreciate the
15
    work that you've done, and Mr. Harrison has done, but, for
16
    example, it's not uncommon for a Defendant to retain experts in
17
    the future.
18
              If Mr. Harrison were to retain such expert, and to the
19
    extent that those individuals may be within the team, close
20
    quote, do you have any concerns with regards to releasing
21
    unredacted information to those experts, and they haven't been
22
    identified yet?
23
              MS. PERLMUTTER: Well, so this is -- this brings me to,
24
    I guess, the second issue that I raised in the supplemental
```

brief. I did some additional research between the first hearing

25

1 and now, and there's a case, it's United States v. King. It's a Northern District of California case that I actually cited. 2 And 3 I put in a footnote -- and maybe I should have made it more 4 prominent -- that one of the ideas I come up with to make it less 5 onerous on Defendant counsel -- and I raised this issue with Defense counsel -- is in that case -- I actually made copies of 6 7 it, because I thought it was really useful to look at -- can I approach, Your Honor? 8 9 THE COURT: Yes, uh-huh. 10 MS. PERLMUTTER: In that case if you look to the second 11 page of the protective order that was issued there, under E, it 12 actually has the members of the Defense signed on to that 13 protective order such that they would not disclose that material, 14 understanding that it's sensitive material. 15 And I actually think that understanding that nature and 16 having someone on the Defense team such as an expert that, you 17 know, I trust that Mr. Harrison, if he hired an expert, would hire a reliable expert with experience, who understands the 18 nature of their legal obligations and would understand the nature 19 of a protective order, and non-disclosure. I think that that 20 21 person could squarely fall within that Defense team. 22 And if they were to sign on to the protective order 23 understanding the consequences of disclosure and how to maintain 24 protected materials, I do think that that would be sufficient to

protect individuals. And this -- we're talking about possibly

25

```
1
    well over 100 individuals, but individual's concerns about their
    own privacy and their rights.
 2
              So, you know, I don't know specifically how Mr.
 3
 4
    Harrison feels with that, but I have flagged this issue, that I
 5
    think that this might be a good way to approach the protective
    order, rather than some sort of certification on a regular basis
 6
 7
    to Your Honor.
              THE COURT: And it's not an uncommon term in a civil
 8
 9
    lawsuit, but this is a criminal context. Mr. Harrison, have you
10
    had a chance to look at this?
11
              MR. HARRISON: Your Honor, we didn't get a chance to
12
    talk about this matter. I haven't really looked in detail at
13
    this King case, but, you know, my feeling is this, and I spoke to
14
    Ms. Perlmutter about that. I'm willing to sign off on any
15
    protective order, basically saying that I'm not going to turn
16
    over anything to my client that has any PI information in it.
17
              There's a lot of pages here. I don't know what it
    looks like because I haven't seen it yet. I have two
18
    alternatives when I get that material. And the two alternatives
19
20
    that I may have is this. Number one, we are going to require,
21
    maybe, a lengthy trial setting if we both have to sit down and go
22
    over these matters in my presence. The alternative would be to
23
    approach the Court -- I believe the CJA -- this is not a CJA
24
    case, but the CJA has individuals who are -- I read an email
25
    recently that they can provide assistance --
```

1 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. HARRISON: -- in this kind of matter, and maybe I can have the Court allow me to obtain CJA funds to have these folks go through this and pull out all the PI information, so that I can turn the whole matter over to her.

What I don't want to have happen here is, later on having to respond to a 2255 matter because the Defendant did not see every piece of paper that was presented. And so in an abundance of caution, I would like to make sure that she sees everything and there's only two ways to do that. Either we meet, and we go over everything in my presence, which is going to be a time consuming, lengthy process if almost every one of these documents have PI information on it, or to have an order from the Court allowing me to avail myself of that CJA procedure and payment.

So, in the meantime, I'm willing to take these and not give her anything with any PI information in it, so that at least I can begin to assess what kind of damage it's going to require for me to have her review all the documents.

THE COURT: All right. Well, we go back to where we started the discussion, which is I would like to see the proposed order. And what you can do, Ms. Perlmutter, is you can submit to me the most recent proposed form of protective order. If you can do that within 48 hours. And, Mr. Harrison, if you would like to submit a competing or red mark order, I'll give you 48 hours

```
1
    after that.
 2
              MR. HARRISON: That's fine.
 3
              THE COURT: And then I'll issue whatever -- I'll sign
    whichever form --
 4
 5
              MS. PERLMUTTER: Okay.
              THE COURT: -- or make whatever modifications I believe
 6
 7
    are appropriate. All right. Anything else, Ms. Perlmutter?
 8
              MS. PERLMUTTER: No, thank you for your time, Your
 9
    Honor.
              THE COURT: Mr. Harrison.
10
11
              MR. HARRISON: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
12
              THE COURT: We'll be in recess. Thank you very much.
13
              THE CLERK: All rise.
14
         (Proceedings concluded at 11:10 a.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, Jessica B. Cahill, court approved transcriber, do hereby certify that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §753, the foregoing is a complete, true, and correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

Dated: June 18, 2019

Jessica B. Cahill, CER/CET-708

Junia B. Cahill